

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/967,031	09/27/2001	Lokpraveen B. Mosur	2207/11305	4804
25693 . 7	590 07/11/2003			
KENYON & KENYON (SAN JOSE)			EXAMINER	
SUITE 600	'		MCLEAN MAYO,	, KIMBERLY N
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95110		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			2187	(1
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

	*		
<i>f.</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/967,031	MOSUR ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo	2187	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 10 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main attention in the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention in the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention.	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s)·		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ——	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <i>The</i>			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· , ,—	/ 	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	·	
10. Other:			
	Karleely	Mher M) Upo-
S. Patent and Trademark Office		/	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Off PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 11

Application/Control Number: 09/967,031

Art Unit: 2187

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed July 3, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's argument that the list structure is apart of the cache control logic and the is located in the cache and therefore contains data, the Examiner disagrees. The list structure as its own entity contains the elements shown in Figure 4, References 331-332 and thus the list structure does not contain data. The list structure does not innately comprise data merely because it is apart of the cache control logic. The specific part of the cache which contains the data is the only element that contains the data and not every element which is apart of the cache control logic. The list structure is its own entity and it does not contain cache data.